

Open Source Software at 50: Its Corporate and Mathematical Origins

Thomas Haigh

The Haigh Group/
University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee

SHOT, Washington DC
October 2007

Research supported by SIAM with funds from grant # DE-FG02-01ER25547 awarded by the US Department of Energy.

Structure of Talk

1. Review of canonical accounts of the origins of open source/free software
 - Linus Torvalds and Linux
 - Raymond Stallman and GNU
 - The Hacker Culture and Bell Labs
2. Examination of the role of the IBM SHARE scientific user group in the 1950s
 - Part of larger project on mathematical software
3. Some preliminary conclusions

1: Origins of Open Source Software – Three Fables

Open Source Idea?

- The **basic idea behind open source** is very simple: When programmers can read, redistribute, and modify the source code for a piece of software, the software evolves. People improve it, people adapt it, people fix bugs.

From OpenSource.org homepage

- “Open Source” concept attributed to 1998 meeting, Eric S. Raymond

Version 1: Finland, 1991

- Linus Torvalds sends a message to the comp.so.minix newsgroup...
- Linux was project of Linus Torvalds
 - Begun in 1991 as undergrad in Finland
- Now a leading serve operating system



```
From: torvalds@klaava.Helsinki.FI  
(Linus Benedict Torvalds)  
Newsgroups: comp.os.minix  
Subject: Gcc-1.40 and a  
posix-question  
Message-ID:  
<1991Jul3.100050.9886@klaava  
.Helsinki.FI>  
Date: 3 Jul 91 10:00:50 GMT
```

```
Hello netlanders,  
Due to a project I'm working  
on (in minix), I'm  
interested in the posix  
standard definition. Could  
somebody please point me to  
a (preferably)  
machine-readable format of  
the latest posix rules? Ftp-  
sites would be  
nice.
```


Power of the Internet

- Similar recent success for Firefox browser
- The story
 - Genius young programmer starts visionary project
 - Promising but incomplete versions posted on internet attract community of user/developers
 - A virtuous circle leads to exponential growth




Bazaar Model

- Characteristics include
 - Users as co-developers
 - Projects start with personal problems to solve
 - Users debug systems – “many eyes make bugs shallow”
 - Early and frequent releases
 - High modularization
 - A “benevolent dictator” to lead project



Version 2: MIT, 1983

- Richard Stallman was respected MIT “hacker”
 - Author of EMACS editor
- Since 1984 Stallman Coordinates GNU project
 - GNU is Not Unix (recursive name)
 - Intended to produce open, free version of Unix
- “Free as in speech... not beer”




GNU's Free Software Definition

- The freedom to run the program, for any purpose (freedom 0).
- The freedom to study how the program works, and adapt it to your needs (freedom 1). Access to the source code is a precondition for this.
- The freedom to redistribute copies so you can help your neighbor (freedom 2).
- The freedom to improve the program, and release your improvements to the public, so that the whole community benefits (freedom 3). Access to the source code is a precondition for this.

Version 3: Hacker Culture

- Stallman was propagating and defending a tradition going back to the late 1950s at MIT
- Fundamentally oppositional
- Propagated and revitalized by
 - Personal computers
 - Widespread internet access



The Hacker Ethic

- Access to computers... unlimited and total
 - All information should be free
 - Mistrust authority – promote decentralization
 - Hackers should be judged by their hacking...
 - You can create beauty and art on a computer
 - Computers can change your life for the better
- From ch. 2 of Hackers, by Steven Levy,

Summary of 3 Conventional Views

- Stress
 - Hacker culture and ideological commitments
 - Unpaid enthusiast virtuosos
 - Charismatic individuals
 - Novel licensing arrangements
- All about operating systems

A New Origin Story

- Scientific software libraries
- 1950s
- No concern with licensing arrangements
- Claim to be motivated by pragmatic commercial interests
 - Avoidance of duplicated efforts on generic programs
 - To free up resources for areas of proprietary interests

2: Mathematical Software and Open Source

Scientific Computing

- Original function of early machines
 - Harvard Mark I, ENIAC
 - Source of the term "computer"
- Many applications are concerned with modeling natural or man made systems
 - Hydrogen bomb physics
 - Fluid Dynamics of air for aerospace
 - Celestial mechanics for space navigation

Mathematical Libraries

- Produced internally within computer centers
 - First example for EDSAC circa 1950
 - Invented along with subroutine
 - Discussed in 1951 programming text
 - Included Runge-Kutta differential equation routine
 - First US grant to support development may be for ILLIAC
 - Numerical Analysis funding from ONR 1950-1958
- Subroutine library 1955 →

ILLIAC MATHEMATICAL LIBRARY INDEX

NO.	NAME	LOC.
1	ARITHMETIC	100
2	COMPLEX	100
3	CONJUGATE GRADIENT	100
4	COEFFICIENTS	100
5	COEFFICIENTS	100
6	COEFFICIENTS	100
7	COEFFICIENTS	100
8	COEFFICIENTS	100
9	COEFFICIENTS	100
10	COEFFICIENTS	100
11	COEFFICIENTS	100
12	COEFFICIENTS	100
13	COEFFICIENTS	100
14	COEFFICIENTS	100
15	COEFFICIENTS	100
16	COEFFICIENTS	100
17	COEFFICIENTS	100
18	COEFFICIENTS	100
19	COEFFICIENTS	100
20	COEFFICIENTS	100
21	COEFFICIENTS	100
22	COEFFICIENTS	100
23	COEFFICIENTS	100
24	COEFFICIENTS	100
25	COEFFICIENTS	100
26	COEFFICIENTS	100
27	COEFFICIENTS	100
28	COEFFICIENTS	100
29	COEFFICIENTS	100
30	COEFFICIENTS	100
31	COEFFICIENTS	100
32	COEFFICIENTS	100
33	COEFFICIENTS	100
34	COEFFICIENTS	100
35	COEFFICIENTS	100
36	COEFFICIENTS	100
37	COEFFICIENTS	100
38	COEFFICIENTS	100
39	COEFFICIENTS	100
40	COEFFICIENTS	100
41	COEFFICIENTS	100
42	COEFFICIENTS	100
43	COEFFICIENTS	100
44	COEFFICIENTS	100
45	COEFFICIENTS	100
46	COEFFICIENTS	100
47	COEFFICIENTS	100
48	COEFFICIENTS	100
49	COEFFICIENTS	100
50	COEFFICIENTS	100
51	COEFFICIENTS	100
52	COEFFICIENTS	100
53	COEFFICIENTS	100
54	COEFFICIENTS	100
55	COEFFICIENTS	100
56	COEFFICIENTS	100
57	COEFFICIENTS	100
58	COEFFICIENTS	100
59	COEFFICIENTS	100
60	COEFFICIENTS	100
61	COEFFICIENTS	100
62	COEFFICIENTS	100
63	COEFFICIENTS	100
64	COEFFICIENTS	100
65	COEFFICIENTS	100
66	COEFFICIENTS	100
67	COEFFICIENTS	100
68	COEFFICIENTS	100
69	COEFFICIENTS	100
70	COEFFICIENTS	100
71	COEFFICIENTS	100
72	COEFFICIENTS	100
73	COEFFICIENTS	100
74	COEFFICIENTS	100
75	COEFFICIENTS	100
76	COEFFICIENTS	100
77	COEFFICIENTS	100
78	COEFFICIENTS	100
79	COEFFICIENTS	100
80	COEFFICIENTS	100
81	COEFFICIENTS	100
82	COEFFICIENTS	100
83	COEFFICIENTS	100
84	COEFFICIENTS	100
85	COEFFICIENTS	100
86	COEFFICIENTS	100
87	COEFFICIENTS	100
88	COEFFICIENTS	100
89	COEFFICIENTS	100
90	COEFFICIENTS	100
91	COEFFICIENTS	100
92	COEFFICIENTS	100
93	COEFFICIENTS	100
94	COEFFICIENTS	100
95	COEFFICIENTS	100
96	COEFFICIENTS	100
97	COEFFICIENTS	100
98	COEFFICIENTS	100
99	COEFFICIENTS	100
100	COEFFICIENTS	100

Early Needs

- Initially: very basic assembly language subroutines
 - Multiplication, square root, binary to decimal, floating point simulation, etc.
- FORTRAN (1956) covers basics, but plenty of challenges left
 - Each computer center is likely to need routines for
 - Linear algebra and matrix manipulation
 - Ordinary and Partial Differential Equation solvers
 - Special and Elementary functions
 - Curve fitting and least squares
 - Fast Fourier Transformation

3: SHARE and Mathematical Software

IBM 701/704/709

- Large, “first generation” machines of 1950s
 - Worth approximately \$2 million
- Designed for technical computation
 - Early users dominated by Southern California aerospace firms
 - Cold war context
- Many employees for each computer installation




704 at LLNL, 1956

SHARE IBM User Group

- SHARE founded 1956
 - Cooperative group for users of large IBM computers
 - Discussions begin among IBM 701 users
 - SHARE represents “large” IBM scientific machine users
 - Representatives from each installation (52 by end of 1956)
 - Usually installation head or deputy
 - Engineering/science background, advanced degrees common
 - Intended to “share” programs, expertise, experiences and best practices
 - Lobbying of IBM to alter machines or policies

SHARE Software Library

- Routines contributed by user sites
 - Reproduction and catalog handled by IBM
 - Classification scheme developed to organize
 - Contributors responsible for maintenance
- List posted of routines devised & desired



SHARE Practices

- Standardization needed to share code and practices
- Standardize machine configuration
 - Setting of switches, control panels, etc
- Standardize system software
 - Assembler and utility programs (not supplied by IBM)
 - Leads to big project to create “Share Operating System”



SSD

- Mechanism for communication between meetings
 - Mailing of large bundles of assorted materials
 - Committee reports
 - Drafts for comments
 - Letters, inquiries and responses
 - Including bug reports
- Also microfilms of source code for programs

Packaging of Mathematics


- Many routines are for mathematical functions
 - Substantial duplication and overlap in contributed routines
 - Quality issues
- Importance of tacit knowledge
 - Limits use, causes support issues
 - “Black boxing” of mathematical procedures

SHARE Labor

- Installation reps are senior figures
 - Responsible for design and specification
 - Commit employees of their firms to develop code
- Economy of effort in developing generic routines
 - Driven by economics – save time and money
 - No proprietary advantage in cosine routine

SHARE Structure

- Committees to manage particular projects
 - Mathematical software is one important area
 - Subcommittees for particular projects



SHARE and the Four Freedoms

- Freedom to run – YES
- Freedom to study and adapt source code - YES
- Freedom to redistribute – YES
 - Pretty much all 704/9/90 were members
- Freedom to improve and release to the public – YES

Similarities in Practices

- Ad-hoc collaboration groups for specific projects
 - Some effort at modular code architecture
- Mechanisms to share and respond to bug reports
- Standards for coding and configuration to facilitate collaboration
- Open circulation of proposals and design documents
 - "Indoctrination" into culture



Challenges to SHARE

- Problems develop in open source model
- See Akera – "The Limits of Voluntarism", T&C, 2001
 - Following problems with the "SHARE Operating System" project the writing of system software migrates to IBM
- But mathematical software largely doesn't
 - SHARE is main distribution mechanism until early 1970s
 - Large labs rely on own code libraries
 - Turn to formal peer review of mathematical routines in 1970s

4: Concluding Ponderings

Commercial Origins of Open Source Practices in 1950s

- To recap, by 1956 we already have
 - All formal characteristics of “free” software
 - Many practices of modern open source development
- But not the ideology of free software
 - Seen as pragmatic actions, economically driven sharing

Hidden Commonality

- Shared engineering culture?
 - 1950s MIT Hackers
 - 1950s Aerospace engineering computing groups
- Seek to solve tasks in technically efficient manner
 - Avoid needless duplication of work
 - Provide tools to people who need them

Shows need for Separation of Ideology and Practice

- Open source practices are older, more widespread than open source movement, so...
 - How important is the ideology?
 - Is selective use open source by big firms (IBM etc) the exception or the rule?
- How important are scientific norms to open source practices?
 - Publication and sharing of data
 - Goes back to 17th century gentlemen

