



# Timeless Theory vs. Changing Users:

Reconsidering Database  
Education

# Purpose of the Session

- Demonstration of subject matter mastery, teaching skills
  - But theme topic required
- Focus on my two divergent roles
  - Database and application consultant
  - Historian of corporate computing
- What insights do these bring to database education

# Structure of Talk

1. The Timeless Principles (4)
2. Sources of the Principles
3. Trends in Practice (5)
4. Possible Responses

# Assumptions For Talk

- Am assuming familiarity with
  - Basic database concepts
  - Current application technologies
- Please stop and ask question if appropriate

# 4 Pillars of Database Education

- DBMS Concept
  - Relational Model
  - SQL
  - Entity Relationship Modeling
- 
- All “Timeless Principles”
  - All 70s ideas, commercialized in 80s

# Strengths of Approach

- Gives principles, not technical skills
  - Relational model is now ubiquitous
  - SQL is lingua-franca of databases
- Many principles of good design are universal
  - ERM forces students to think before making tables
  - Normalization is a very powerful idea
  - Data-centric way of thinking is very different from procedural way



# Sources of the Principles

# 1: Database Management System

- Key concepts from CODASYL Database Task Group (1971)
- DBMS as software layer between data, users
  - Different interfaces, languages for
    - Programs & programmers
    - Ad-hoc managerial reporting
    - Data definition and maintenance



# 2: The Relational Model

- E. F. Codd, 1970
- Simple, elegant, mathematically grounded
  - Abstracts data from underlying representations
  - Relations specified by query, not by DDL

## 3: SQL

“Looking back on it, I don't think the problem we thought we were solving was where we had the most impact. What we thought we were doing was making it possible for non-programmers to interact with databases.”

Don Chamberlin

– System R SQL Language group,

# 4: Entity Relationship Modeling

- Formulated by Chen, 1976
- Links database entities to real-world functions and processes
- Easy to convert to relational design



# Trends in Practice

# Database Technology – 1980s

- Databases and servers (mainframe/  
large mini) are
  - Expensive
  - Centralized
  - Run by expert staff
- Database and applications are separate
  - Applications are monolithic, self contained

# Database Methodology – 1980s

- Focus is on design of system from scratch
- Construction of database is separate from, comes before, applications that it supports
- Structure of database reflects real world entities

# 5 Trends in Practice:

1. Diversity of Scale
2. Diverging Uses and Users
3. Merging of DB and application platforms
4. Integrating Database and Application Development
5. Proliferation of Existing Databases

# 1: Diversity of Scale

- Enterprise (Data Warehouse, ERP)
- Departmental
- Workgroup
- Desktop
- Handheld



## 2: Diverging Uses & Users

- World's leading
  - Programming Language: Visual Basic
  - DBMS/Application Platform: Microsoft Access
- Powerful relational tools in the hands of end users
- Most IS departments lack resources/mindset to support

# 3: N-tier Architectures


- Database and application platforms merging
  - Oracle now includes file system, Java language and Web support
  - Close ties between ColdFusion, ASP, etc. and DBMS
  - Business logic is migrating to DMBS

# 4: Application Development

- Database now at the heart of all corporate applications
  - And behind every serious web site
- So database and applications must be developed together
  - Yet very different software engineering methodologies apply to
    - departmental applications,
    - enterprise systems,
    - web projects etc.

# 5: Database Proliferation

- Most applications are now purchased, not developed
  - Often have to build links to vendor-supplied database
  - Problem is integration into other systems
- How to incorporate data from warehouse or datamart?
- Some or most of data often already in local database
  - Clean? Combine? Discard?



# Educational Implications & Responses

# ERM is a Tool

- Should be justified as part of broader methodology
  - Is hybrid – object oriented data but little support for business rules
- Mapping of real-world to ERM is non-deterministic
  - Choice of model reflects tradeoffs, demands of application
  - Requirements analysis is non-trivial, non-mechanical

# ERM – Use Must Be Justified

“It's a good thing these folks are book writers and academics and do not design databases for a living.... The text is filled with Entity Relationship diagrams that must add 200% to the cost of their designs.... if you're already designing databases, this methodology will drive you up the wall.”

Amazon.com user review of Connolly & Begg textbook

# Responses: Education Structure

- Integrate use of database technology into other curriculum areas
- Involve core courses in shared project
  - systems analysis,
  - user interface design
  - application architecture
  - E-business
- Include exposure to real databases and situations



# Response: More Cases

## ● “How Not To”

- We learn from mistakes, ideally those of other people.
- Expose students to real databases
- More “case based” teaching

# Response: Give Guidelines, not Commandments

- Admit that different styles of database development are appropriate for different situations
  - Eg when NOT to normalize!
  - When to keep application meta-data in database

# Response: More Context

- Discuss roles, situations in which databases are developed
  - Role of database expert in application development team
  - ...as management analyst
  - ...as end user
- Mention organizational/political aspects of databases

# In Short

- Supply not just the technical and conceptual **tools**
- But an idea of when and why to use them